arXiv:1107.2590v1 [math.GR] 13 Jul 2011

SUBDIRECT PRODUCTS OF GROUPS AND THE n-$(n+1)$-$(n+2)$ THEOREM

BENNO KUCKUCK

Abstract. We analyse the subgroup structure of direct products of groups. In particular, we seek to relate the finiteness properties of a group to the ways in which it embeds non-trivially into a direct product. To this end we formulate a conjecture on finiteness properties of fibre products of groups. We present different approaches to this conjecture, proving a general result on finite generation of homology groups of fibre products and, for certain special cases, results on the stronger finiteness properties F_n and FP_n.

1. Introduction

This article is about the subgroup structure of direct products of groups. The general question in this area of research may be phrased like this: Starting with a class of groups whose subgroups are reasonably well-understood, which groups can occur as subgroups of direct products of groups in this class and how are these subgroups embedded in the direct product?

In recent years much work has gone into the development of a structure theory of subgroups of direct products of free groups or, more generally, surface groups and limit groups ([BaRo], [BHMS1], [BHMS2], [BHMS3], [BrMi], [BBMS], [Ko]). One general theme that has emerged from this research is this: Even the simplest of direct products of free groups contains a daunting variety of finitely generated subgroups; however, this “wildness” only arises in conjunction with the failure of certain homological finiteness properties. As soon as one imposes sufficiently strict finiteness assumptions on the subgroups considered, even the subgroup structure of direct subgroups of limit groups becomes very simple.

To illustrate the first part of this theme, we might mention that a direct product of two free groups contains finitely generated subgroups with unsolvable conjugacy or membership problem [Mi1] and that there is no algorithm which could determine the $\mathbb{Z}$-rank of the abelianisation of such subgroups [BrMi].

The second strand of the theme was initiated by Baumslag and Roseblade, who in [BaRo] proved that any finitely presented subgroup of a direct product of two finitely generated free groups is itself virtually a direct

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F65; Secondary 20J05, 20E22, 20E07.

Key words and phrases. fibre products, subdirect products, subgroup structure, finiteness properties.

This work was supported by a grant from the EPSRC.

product of two finitely generated free groups. This theorem has been expanded significantly since. In [BHMS2] the following vast generalisation to direct products of limit groups is proved:

Theorem 1.1 (Bridson-Howie-Miller-Short [BHMS2])**.** *Let G be a subgroup of a direct product of n limit groups. Then G is of type FP_n if and only if it is virtually a direct product of (up to n) limit groups.*

In addition it is shown there how such subgroups $G \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ lie inside the ambient product: After accounting for inessential factors (i.e. those Γ_i which intersect G trivially), G is virtually a direct product of subgroups of the factors. Thus, in a sense, the subgroups of type FP_n are just the "obvious" ones.

To further elucidate the subgroup structure of direct products it therefore becomes interesting to analyse what the subgroups, which don't quite satisfy these strongest finiteness criteria, look like. Since the results quoted above suggest that a structure theory about all finitely generated subgroups is too much to hope for, we will focus here on the subgroups in between, i.e. those which are more than finitely generated but less than FP_n. The interest here is two-fold: Apart from shedding light on the subgroup structure of direct products, the fact that the higher finiteness properties F_n and FP_n occur so naturally in this context fosters the hope that studying these subgroups might provide new insights into these still somewhat poorly understood properties.[1]

We will be concerned with the following conjecture, which has appeared in various forms, e.g. in [Ko, Section 5] and [Di, Conjecture 12.5]:

Conjecture A. *Let $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n$ be groups of type F_k (with $k \geq 2$) and $G \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ a subgroup of the direct product, which "virtually surjects to k-tuples", i.e. for $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ the image of G under the canonical projection*

$$G \to \Gamma_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{i_k}$$

has finite index in $\Gamma_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{i_k}$. Then G is of type F_k.

The case $k = 2$ of this conjecture has been proved by Bridson, Howie, Miller and Short in [BHMS3]. Furthermore, Kochloukova in [Ko] proves a kind of converse of this for limit groups, showing that if $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n$ are limit groups, then any full subdirect product[2] of type FP_k virtually surjects to k-tuples.

Thus Conjecture A in conjunction with Kochloukova's result would give a characterisation of the full subdirect products of limit groups of type FP_k, in the same way that Bridson, Howie, Miller and Short were able to characterise the finitely presented subdirect products of limit groups as those which virtually surject to pairs of factors.

We have been unable so far to determine whether Conjecture A holds in full generality. However, we can prove it in a number of special cases. We will approach the conjecture by relating it to and then proving special cases of another, more technical, conjecture:

---

[1] In fact, the first examples of groups of type F_n but not of type F_{n+1}, the so-called Stallings-Bieri groups ([St], [Bi2]), arise as subgroups of direct products of free groups.

[2] $G \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ is a *subdirect product* if the projection maps $G \to \Gamma_i$ are onto; it is a *full* subdirect product if, in addition, the intersections $G \cap \Gamma_i$ are all non-trivial.

Conjecture B (The asymmetric n-$(n+1)$-$(n+2)$ Conjecture)**.** *Let*

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

and

$$N_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \overset{\pi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

be short exact sequences of groups, such that N_1 *is of type* F_n*,* Γ_1 *and* Γ_2 *are of type* F_{n+1} *and* Q *is of type* F_{n+2}*. Then the fibre product*

$$P := \{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \mid \pi_1(\gamma_1) = \pi_2(\gamma_2)\}$$

is of type F_{n+1}*.*

This has been proved for the case $n = 1$ in [BBMS] and [BHMS3] and served as a major ingredient in the proof of the $k = 2$ case of Conjecture A in [BHMS3]. We will show in Section 3 that Conjecture B implies Conjecture A.

Our main result, described in Section 4, is a version of Conjecture B, where the conclusion is somewhat weakened: Instead of showing that P is of type F_{n+1}, we show that the homology groups[3] $H_k(P')$ for any finite index subgroup $P' \leq P$ and any $k \leq n+1$ are finitely generated—a property we call "type wFP_{n+1}".

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \twoheadrightarrow Q$ *and* $N_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \twoheadrightarrow Q$ *be short exact sequences of groups where* N_1 *is of type* wFP_n*,* Γ_1 *and* Γ_2 *are of type* FP_{n+1} *and* Q *is of type* FP_{n+2}*. Then the fibre product is of type* wFP_{n+1}*.*

This will lead to the following weakened version of Conjecture A:

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$ *be groups of type* F_k *(with* $k \geq 2$*) and* $G \leq \Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_n$ *a subgroup of the direct product. If* G *virtually surjects to* k*-tuples then* G *is of type* wFP_k*.*

This was previously known only in the case where $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$ are limit groups (see [Ko, Theorem 20]).

The homological finiteness property wFP_n arises naturally in the study of subdirect products and has frequently been used in an adhoc fashion, e.g. in [BHMS1], [BHMS2], [BHMS3] and [Ko]. In an Appendix, we will analyse this property in a more systematic way, in particular giving examples of groups for each n which are of type wFP_n and F_{n-1} but not of type FP_n.

In Section 5 we will show that Conjecture B is true when Q is abelian, using the theory of Σ-invariants developed by Bieri, Strebel, Neumann and Renz. With this we recover a result of Kochloukova on Conjecture A and we will use this to establish Conjecture A for the case $n \leq 5$ or, more generally, whenever $2k > n$.

While the homological approach of Section 4 yields a weakened result, we consider it unlikely that the methods employed there can be used to establish the full result. Another approach to Conjecture B using topological methods, that we believe to be more promising, will be introduced in Section 6. We obtain the following result:

---

[3] Note that (unlike [Ko] or [BHMS2]) we will always use homology with integral coefficients and will thus usually write $H_k(G)$ instead of $H_k(G; \mathbb{Z})$.

Corollary 6.4. *Let* $N \hookrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow H$ *be a short exact sequence of groups with* H *of type* F_{n+1} *and* N *of type* F_n *for some* $n \geq 2$*. Then* G *is of type* F_{n+1} *if and only if there is a group* G' *of type* F_{n+1} *containing* N *as a normal subgroup and a homomorphism* $\phi : G' \to G$ *which is the identity map on* N*.*

We will use this to prove Conjecture B in the case that the second short exact sequence splits and to reduce Conjecture B to the case where Γ_2 is a free group.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my supervisor, Martin Bridson, for introducing me to the topic and for his invaluable help and guidance in preparing this paper.

2. Generalities on fibre products

Definition 2.1. A subdirect product of the groups $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$ is a subgroup $G \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ of the direct product such that the canonical projection $G \to \Gamma_i$ is surjective for all i.

One important way to obtain subdirect products is via the fibre product construction: Let

$$N \hookrightarrow \Gamma \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q \tag{1}$$

be a short exact sequence of groups. Then we call

$$P := \{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma \mid \pi(\gamma_1) = \pi(\gamma_2)\}$$

the (symmetric or untwisted) fibre product associated to the short exact sequence (1).

We can generalise this construction by considering two short exact sequences of groups

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$
$$N_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \overset{\pi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q.$$

Then we define the associated fibre product to be

$$P := \{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \mid \pi_1(\gamma_1) = \pi_2(\gamma_2)\}.$$

It is a basic fact that every subdirect product of Γ_1 and Γ_2 arises in this fashion. A proof of the following proposition can be found e.g. in [BrMi, Section 1].

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $P \leq \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ *be a subdirect product of* Γ_1 *and* Γ_2*. Let* $N_1 := P \cap \Gamma_1$ *and* $N_2 := P \cap \Gamma_2$*, where* Γ_1 *denotes the subgroup* $\Gamma_1 \times 1 \leq \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ *and similarly for* Γ_2 *(i.e. we have identified each* Γ_i *with its image under the natural inclusion* $\Gamma_i \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$*). Then* Γ_1/N_1 *and* Γ_2/N_2 *are isomorphic and* P *is the fibre product associated to two short exact sequences*

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$
$$N_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \overset{\pi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q.$$

Notation. The following notation will be useful, especially when dealing with subgroups of direct products of more than two groups: Let $P \leq \Gamma := \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$. For $J = \{i_1, \ldots, i_m\} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $i_1, \ldots, i_m$ pairwise distinct, we will denote by Γ_J the group $\Gamma_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{i_m}$, which we will also, via the canonical injection, consider a subgroup of Γ. Furthermore we will denote by p_J the canonical projection map $P \to \Gamma_J$ and by N_J the intersection $P \cap \Gamma_J$. Sometimes we will omit curly braces to unclutter the notation, e.g. we will write $p_{1,\ldots,n-1}$ for the projection $P \to \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1}$, in place of $p_{\{1,\ldots,n-1\}}$.

To give an example of this notation, we could say that P virtually surjects to k-tuples if and only if the image of p_J has finite index in Γ_J for every k-element subset $J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Let us also note that it will frequently be useful to regard a subdirect product of more than two groups as being inductively built up by the fibre product construction as follows:

Remark 2.3. Let $P \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ be a subdirect product. Using the notation introduced above, set

$$T := p_{1,\ldots,n-1}(P) \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1}.$$

Then P is a subgroup of $T \times \Gamma_n$ and in fact even a subdirect product of T and Γ_n. So by Proposition 2.2 it is the fibre product associated to short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} N_{1,\ldots,n-1} \hookrightarrow T \ &\twoheadrightarrow Q \\ N_n \hookrightarrow \Gamma_n &\twoheadrightarrow Q. \end{aligned}$$

Note that T, in turn, is a subdirect product of $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_{n-1}$.

3. The n-$(n+1)$-$(n+2)$ Conjecture

Our goal, as explained in the Introduction, is to analyse the finiteness properties of subdirect products of groups. So, having seen in the preceding section how we can construct subdirect products as fibre products, it is natural to ask how the finiteness properties of the fibre product depend on the finiteness properties of the groups in the short exact sequences used as input in the construction. The following is an easy observation:

Proposition 3.1. *Let P be the fibre product associated to short exact sequences*

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

$$N_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \overset{\pi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q.$$

If Γ_2 and N_1 are of type F_n *then P is of type* F_n.

Proof. This follows from the fact that there is a short exact sequence

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow P \overset{p_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} \Gamma_2,$$

where p_2 is just the natural projection to the second factor. In any short exact sequence, if the kernel and quotient are of type F_n, then the middle group is as well (see e.g. [Geo, Section 7.2]). ☐

Now, if we look at this argument in the lowest case, $n = 1$, we may observe that we do not actually need N_1 to be finitely generated. It is enough if it is finitely *normally* generated as a subgroup of P. This is the case if it is finitely normally generated as a subgroup of Γ_1. But assuming Γ_1 is finitely generated, N_1 is finitely normally generated in Γ_1 if Q is finitely presented. Thus we get (this is [BrMi, Corollary 2.4]):

Proposition 3.2 (The 0-1-2 theorem). *Let P be the fibre product associated to short exact sequences*

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

$$N_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \overset{\pi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q.$$

If Γ_1 and Γ_2 are finitely generated and Q is finitely presented then P is finitely generated.

In essence, going from the $n = 0$ case of 3.1 to 3.2, we managed to weaken the assumption on N_1 in return for strengthening the assumption on Q.

The 1-2-3 theorem of [BBMS] and [BHMS3] says that this kind of "trade" still works one dimension higher:

Proposition 3.3 (The 1-2-3 theorem). *Let P be the fibre product associated to short exact sequences*

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

$$N_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \overset{\pi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q.$$

If N_1 is finitely generated, Γ_1 and Γ_2 are finitely presented and Q is of type F_3 then P is finitely presented.

These results serve as motivation for Conjecture B from the Introduction which is a natural generalisation of the 1-2-3 theorem.

Conjecture B (The asymmetric n-$(n+1)$-$(n+2)$ Conjecture). *Let*

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

and

$$N_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \overset{\pi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

be short exact sequences of groups, such that N_1 is of type F_n, Γ_1 and Γ_2 are of type F_{n+1} and Q is of type F_{n+2}. Then the fibre product

$$P := \{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \mid \pi_1(\gamma_1) = \pi_2(\gamma_2)\}$$

is of type F_{n+1}.

One of the main reasons why the n-$(n+1)$-$(n+2)$ Conjecture is interesting for us is that it implies Conjecture A from the Introduction. This is shown by an induction along the lines of Remark 2.3. We will use the notation introduced there.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $P \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ be a subgroup. If P virtually surjects to k-tuples then*

$$N_{1,\ldots,n-1} = P \cap (\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1})$$

is a subgroup of $\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1}$ that virtually surjects to $(k-1)$-tuples.

Proof. Let $J \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ be a subset with $k-1$ elements. By assumption, the image of P under the projection map $p_{J\cup\{n\}} : P \to \Gamma_{J\cup\{n\}}$ is a finite index subgroup $S \leq \Gamma_{J\cup\{n\}}$ and we have

$$p_J(N_{1,\dots,n-1}) = S \cap \Gamma_J$$

which has finite index in Γ_J. □

An important observation, originating in the "Virtually-Nilpotent Quotients Theorem" from [BrMi], is that the "virtual surjection to pairs" property guarantees that the quotients Γ_i/N_i are virtually nilpotent. We will now prove a variation of this, giving a stronger bound on the nilpotency class than previous versions, which we will exploit later.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $P \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ that virtually surjects to k-tuples for some $k \geq 2$. Then for every index i the group Γ_i/N_i is virtually nilpotent of class at most $\lceil \frac{n-1}{k-1} \rceil - 1$.*

Proof. We will show the statement only for $i = 1$. For other choices of i the proof is entirely analogous (or alternatively, can be reduced to the case treated here, using the isomorphism exchanging the first and i^{th} factor of the product).

Let $s := \lceil \frac{n-1}{k-1} \rceil$. Then the set $I := \{2, \dots, n\}$ can be partitioned into s disjoint subsets $I_1, \dots, I_s \subset I$ of size $|I_m| \leq k-1$ for all m. Set $I'_m := \{1\} \cup I_m$. Since P virtually surjects to k-tuples, $p_{I'_m}(P)$ is of finite index in $\Gamma_{I'_m}$ for all m. Therefore $\Gamma'_1 := \bigcap_{m=1}^{s} (p_{I'_m}(P) \cap \Gamma_1)$ is of finite index in Γ_1. Note that Γ'_1 consists of all those $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ such that for each m with $1 \leq m \leq s$ there exists a $g \in P$ with $p_1(g) = \gamma$ and $p_i(g) = 1$ for all $i \in I_m$. In particular Γ'_1 contains N_1.

Now let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \in \Gamma'_1$. We will show that the iterated commutator

$$c := [\gamma_1, [\gamma_2, \dots, [\gamma_{s-1}, \gamma_s] \dots]]$$

is in N_1. Pick elements $g_1, \dots, g_s$ such that $p_1(g_m) = \gamma_m$ for $1 \leq m \leq s$ and $p_i(g_m) = 1$ for $i \in I_m$. Now let

$$c' := [g_1, [g_2, \dots, [g_{s-1}, g_s] \dots]].$$

Then c' is in P and $p_1(c') = c$. Furthermore for each i with $2 \leq i \leq n$ there is an m with $1 \leq m \leq s$ such that $i \in I_m$, so $p_i(g_m) = 1$. But clearly, if one of the elements in an iterated commutator is 1, then the commutator is 1, too. So for each i with $2 \leq i \leq n$ we get that $p_i(c') = 1$. This means that $c' \in \Gamma_1 \cap P = N_1$.

We have shown that N_1 contains all the commutators of length s in Γ'_1 and therefore it also contains $\gamma_{s-1}\Gamma'_1$, the $(s-1)^{\text{th}}$ term in the lower central series of Γ'_1. This proves that Γ'_1/N_1 is nilpotent of class at most $s-1$. □

We can now show that Conjecture B, the n-$(n+1)$-$(n+2)$ Conjecture, implies Conjecture A. We will also include the proof for the abelian quotient case of Conjecture A here, using the result from Section 5, since the proof is very similar.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$ be groups of type F_k (with $k \geq 2$) and $P \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ a subgroup of the direct product which virtually surjects to k-tuples. Let $N_i := P \cap \Gamma_i$. If either of the following holds:*

(1) *Conjecture B holds for virtually nilpotent Q, or*
(2) *each Γ_i/N_i is abelian*

then P is of type F_k.

Remark 3.7. Note that condition 2 above is easily seen to be equivalent to P being a normal subgroup of $\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ or P containing the commutator subgroup of $\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ (see [BrMi, Proposition 1.2]).

Proof of Theorem 3.6. First note that $p_i(P)$ is a finite index subgroup of Γ_i and thus of type F_k. By possibly replacing each Γ_i by $p_i(P)$, we may then assume without loss of generality that P is a subdirect product.

We prove the proposition by induction over k. The case $k = 2$ has been proved by Bridson, Howie, Miller and Short [BHMS3, Theorem E].

So assume $k \geq 3$. We will now do an induction over n. For the base case, if $n \leq k$ the virtual surjection property just means that P has finite index in $\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ which implies that P is of type F_k.

So assume $n > k$. With the notations from Remark 2.3 we have that P is a fibre product associated to the short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} N_{1,\ldots,n-1} &\hookrightarrow T \twoheadrightarrow Q \\ N_n &\hookrightarrow \Gamma_n \twoheadrightarrow Q. \end{aligned}$$

Here $Q = \Gamma_n/N_n \cong T/N_{1,\ldots,n-1}$ is a finitely generated virtually nilpotent group (by Lemma 3.5), and thus of type F_∞. Next, note that $T \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1}$ virtually surjects to k-tuples in $\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1}$. Furthermore, since $N_i \subset T \cap \Gamma_i$, if all the Γ_i/N_i are abelian, then so are the $\Gamma_i/(T \cap \Gamma_i)$. By induction (on n) we may then assume that T is of type F_k. By Lemma 3.4 $N_{1,\ldots,n-1}$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_{n-1}$ that virtually surjects to $(k-1)$-tuples. So again by induction (on k this time) $N_{1,\ldots,n-1}$ is of type F_{k-1}. Therefore the two short exact sequences satisfy all the assumptions of the $(k-1)$-k-$(k+1)$ Conjecture. We now analyse the above cases individually:

ad 1. If Conjecture B holds for virtually nilpotent Q, that immediately allows us to conclude that P is of type F_k.
ad 2. If Γ_n/N_n is abelian then Theorem 5.4 yields that P is of type F_k. ☐

Remark 3.8. The result on abelian quotients (2 above) was previously obtained by Kochloukova [Ko, Corollary 37 and Theorem 23].

4. PROOF OF A HOMOLOGICAL VERSION

In this section we will prove "weak" versions of Conjectures A and B in the sense that "type F_n" (or FP_n) will be replaced by the homological condition "type wFP_n" in the conclusion (see the Appendix for definitions and discussions of the various finiteness properties).

Theorem 4.1 (Weak n-$(n+1)$-$(n+2)$ theorem)**.** *Let*

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

and

$$N_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \overset{\pi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

be short exact sequences of groups, where N_1 *is of type* wFP_n*,* Γ_1 *is of type* wFP_{n+1}*,* Γ_2 *is of type* FP_{n+1} *and* Q *is of type* FP_{n+2}*. Then the fibre product is of type* wFP_{n+1}*.*

Proof. Denote the fibre product by P. We have to show that $H_k(P')$ is finitely generated for all $P' \leq P$ of finite index and all $k \leq n+1$. First, we will show that it is sufficient to prove that $H_k(P)$ is finitely generated for $k \leq n+1$.

If $P' \leq P$ is a subgroup of finite index, then P' is itself a fibre product associated the sequences

$$N_1' \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1' \twoheadrightarrow Q'$$

and

$$N_2' \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2' \twoheadrightarrow Q'$$

where $\Gamma_i' := p_i(P')$, $N_i' := P' \cap \Gamma_i$ and $Q' := P'/(N_1' \times N_2')$. Since Γ_i' is of finite index in Γ_i we have that Γ_1' is of type wFP_{n+1} and Γ_2' is of type FP_{n+1} (see A.8). Since N_1' is of finite index in N_1 it is of type wFP_n. Furthermore we have a short exact sequence

$$(\Gamma_1' \cap N_1)/N_1' \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1'/N_1' \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_1'/(\Gamma_1' \cap N_1).$$

Here, the first group is finite, since N_1' has finite index in N_1 and the third group is isomorphic to $(\Gamma_1' N_1)/N_1$ which has finite index in $\Gamma_1/N_1 = Q$ and is thus of type FP_{n+2}. Therefore the middle group, $\Gamma_1'/N_1' \cong Q'$, is also of type FP_{n+2} (see [Bi2, Proposition 2.7]).

We have shown that P' is a fibre product associated to two short exact sequences which satisfy all the assumptions of the original sequences in the statement of the theorem. Thus, without loss of generality, it suffices to show that $H_k(P)$ is finitely generated for $k \leq n+1$ in the original statement.

Consider the short exact sequence

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow P \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_2$$

and the associated LHS spectral sequence

$$E^2_{pq} = H_p(\Gamma_2; H_q(N_1)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(P).$$

By assumption, $H_q(N_1)$ is finitely generated for $q \leq n$. Thus E^2_{pq} is finitely generated for $p \leq n+1$ and $q \leq n$ (see Proposition A.7) and then so is E^∞_{pq}. This shows that $H_k(P)$ is finitely generated for $k \leq n$. To prove that it is finitely generated for $k = n+1$ as well, we have to show that E^∞_{pq} is finitely generated for all $p, q \geq 0$ with $p+q = n+1$. The only such pair (p,q) where this does not follow from the above is $(p,q) = (0, n+1)$. So it suffices to show that

$$E^2_{0,n+1} = H_0(\Gamma_2; H_{n+1}(N_1))$$

is finitely generated.

To do this, we look at the short exact sequence

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \twoheadrightarrow Q$$

and the associated LHS spectral sequence

$$\tilde{E}^2_{pq} = H_p(Q; H_q(N_1)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\Gamma_1).$$

By assumption we know that $H_{n+1}(\Gamma_1)$ is finitely generated, so in particular, $\tilde{E}^{\infty}_{0,n+1}$ is finitely generated. The latter group is a quotient of $\tilde{E}^2_{0,n+1}$ obtained by successively quotienting out by the images of the boundary maps on pages 2 to $n+2$ of the spectral sequence. To be more precise, we have

$$\tilde{E}^{r+1}_{0,n+1} = \tilde{E}^r_{0,n+1}/\operatorname{im} d_r \tag{2}$$

where

$$d_r : \tilde{E}^r_{r,n+2-r} \to \tilde{E}^r_{0,n+1}$$

is the boundary map on the r^{th} page of the spectral sequence. By our finiteness assumptions on Q and N_1 we know that

$$\tilde{E}^2_{r,n+2-r} = H_r(Q; H_{n+2-r}(N_1))$$

is finitely generated for $2 \leq r \leq n+2$ and thus so are $\tilde{E}^r_{r,n+2-r}$ and $\operatorname{im} d_r$ in (2). This shows that, for $r \geq 2$, if $\tilde{E}^{r+1}_{0,n+1}$ is finitely generated then so is $\tilde{E}^r_{0,n+1}$. Combine this with the fact that $\tilde{E}^{n+2}_{0,n+1} = \tilde{E}^{\infty}_{0,n+1}$, as noted above, is finitely generated, and an induction yields that

$$\tilde{E}^2_{0,n+1} = H_0(Q; H_{n+1}(N_1))$$

is finitely generated.

We would like to conclude from this that $H_0(\Gamma_2; H_{n+1}(N_1))$ is finitely generated. Note that

$$H_0(Q; H_{n+1}(N_1)) = H_{n+1}(N_1)/\langle qx - x \mid x \in H_{n+1}(N_1), q \in Q\rangle \tag{3}$$

is the group of co-invariants of $H_{n+1}(N_1)$ under the action of Q, and similarly

$$H_0(\Gamma_2; H_{n+1}(N_1)) = H_{n+1}(N_1)/\langle \gamma_2 x - x \mid x \in H_{n+1}(N_1), \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2\rangle \tag{4}$$

is the group of co-invariants of $H_{n+1}(N_1)$ under the action of Γ_2. We thus need to compare the two actions in (3) and (4). The action of Q on $H_{n+1}(N_1)$ is induced by the conjugation action of Γ_1 on its normal subgroup N_1. More precisely, this can be described as follows: Let $q \in Q$. Then we can pick a $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1$ with $\pi_1(\gamma_1) = q$. Conjugation by γ_1 then gives an automorphism $c_{\gamma_1} : N_1 \to N_1$ which induces automorphisms $(c_{\gamma_1})_* : H_{n+1}(N_1) \to H_{n+1}(N_1)$ and for $x \in H_{n+1}(N_1)$ we have $qx := (c_{\gamma_1})_*(x)$. Now note that we can pick a $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$ such that $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in P$ and that the conjugation automorphism $c_{(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)} : N_1 \to N_1$ (this time regarding N_1 as a normal subgroup of P) is the same as c_{γ_1}, since $(1, \gamma_2)$ is in the centralizer of $N_1 = N_1 \times 1$ in $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$. But now the action of γ_2 on $H_{n+1}(N_1)$ in (4) is the one induced by $c_{(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)}$, so we see that $qx = \gamma_2 x$ for $x \in H_{n+1}(N_1)$. Since we had chosen $q \in Q$ arbitrarily, this shows that $H_0(\Gamma_2; H_{n+1}(N_1))$ is a quotient of $H_0(Q; H_{n+1}(N_1))$ (in fact, a similar argument shows that these groups are equal). And thus $H_0(\Gamma_2; H_{n+1}(N_1))$ is finitely generated. □

Using this we can now prove a weak version of Conjecture A:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$ be groups of type* F_k *with $k \geq 2$ and let $P \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ be a full subdirect product. If P virtually surjects to k-tuples then P is of type* wFP_k.

Proof. We prove the proposition by induction over k following the same pattern as in the proof of Theorem 3.6. Just like there we may assume that P is a subdirect product. The case $k = 2$ has been proved by Bridson, Howie, Miller and Short [BHMS3, Theorem E] (with the stronger conclusion that P is finitely presented).

So assume $k \geq 3$. We will now do an induction over n. For the base case, if $n \leq k$ the virtual surjection property just means that P has finite index in $\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ which implies that P is of type F_k.

As in the proof of 3.6, P can now be regarded as a subdirect product of $T := p_{1,\dots,n-1}(P)$ and Γ_n, associated to the short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} N_{1,\dots,n-1} &\hookrightarrow T \twoheadrightarrow Q \\ N_n &\hookrightarrow \Gamma_n \twoheadrightarrow Q. \end{aligned}$$

Here $Q = \Gamma_n/N_n$ is of type F_∞, since by Lemma 3.5 it is virtually nilpotent. T virtually surjects to k-tuples in $\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1}$ and is thus of type wFP_k by induction on n. $N_{1,\dots,n-1}$ virtually surjects to $(k-1)$-tuples in $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_{n-1}$, by Lemma 3.4. So by induction on k, it is of type wFP_{k-1}. Therefore the two short exact sequences satisfy all the assumptions of Theorem 4.1, which allows us to conclude that P is of type wFP_k. ☐

5. ABELIAN QUOTIENTS

In this section we will prove the n-$(n+1)$-$(n+2)$ Conjecture in the case where the quotient is abelian. This is used in 3.6 to prove the corresponding special case of Conjecture A.

Our method here is an application of the theory of Σ-invariants due to Bieri, Neumann, Strebel and Renz. We will only give a very brief overview of those properties of the Σ-invariants that we will need for our purposes. For more information, see e.g. [Bi1], [BNS], [BiRe], [BiGe].

For any group G, call two homomorphisms $\chi_1, \chi_2 : G \to \mathbb{R}$ from G into the additive group of real numbers homothetic if there is a real number $\lambda > 0$ such that $\chi_1 = \lambda \chi_2$. Denote the equivalence class of homomorphisms homothetic to χ by $[\chi]$ and let $S(G)$ be the set of all such equivalence classes of homomorphisms $G \to \mathbb{R}$. The (homotopical) Σ-invariants of G, denoted by $\Sigma^n(G)$ are subsets of $S(G)$, defined whenever G is of type F_n:

Definition 5.1. Let G be of type F_n and $\chi : G \to \mathbb{R}$ be a homomorphism. Let X be a free, contractible CW complex on which G acts by cell-permuting homeomorphisms and such that G acts cocompactly on the n-skeleton $X^{(n)}$.[4] Let $h : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be an equivariant map in the sense that $h(gx) = \chi(g) + h(x)$ for all $g \in G$, $x \in X$. We say that X is n-connected over $+\infty$ with respect to χ if there is a constant $\lambda \geq 0$ such that for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$ the inclusion $h^{-1}([r, \infty)) \to h^{-1}([r-\lambda, \infty))$ induces the trivial homomorphism on the level of the first n homotopy groups $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$.

Now we define for $n \geq 1$,

$$\Sigma^n(G) = \{[\chi] \mid X \text{ is } (n-1)\text{-connected over } +\infty \text{ with respect to } \chi\},$$

and $\Sigma^0(G) := S(G) \setminus \{[0]\}$.

---

[4] e.g. we can pick X to be the universal cover of a $K(G,1)$ complex with finite n-skeleton (which exists by assumption) with G acting by deck transformations

In this definition h should be regarded as a sort of "Morse function" on X. The property of being n-connected over $+\infty$ is a kind of "coarse" n-connectedness of the sublevel sets $X_r = h^{-1}([r,\infty))$, in the precise sense that each map of a k-sphere $S^k \to X_r$ is null-homotopic in $X_{r-\lambda}$ for $k \leq n$. It is not hard to show that the definition of $\Sigma^n(G)$ does not depend on the choices of X and h (for details, see [BiGe] or [Bi1]). We obviously have

$$\Sigma^0(G) \supset \Sigma^1(G) \supset \Sigma^2(G) \supset \cdots .$$

The Σ-invariants contain the information on which of the normal subgroups of G, that contain the commutator subgroup G', are of type F_n. If $N \lhd G$ is a normal subgroup we define

$$S(G,N) := \{[\chi] \mid \chi : G \to \mathbb{R}, \chi(N) = 0, \chi \neq 0\}.$$

Then we have the following central theorem:

Theorem 5.2 (Bieri-Renz [BiRe], [BiGe])**.** *Let G be of type F_n with $n \geq 1$. A normal subgroup $N \lhd G$ containing the commutator subgroup G' is of type F_n if and only if $S(G,N) \subset \Sigma^n(G)$.*

The reason the Σ-invariants are so useful for us, is that there is a good lower bound for the Σ-invariants of direct products, which, in conjunction with Theorem 5.2 enables us to analyse the finiteness properties of subgroups of direct products containing the commutator subgroup. The lower bound we need is a result due to Meinert, published in [Geh] (see also [Bi1]).

Theorem 5.3 (Meinert's Inequality)**.** *Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be of type F_n with $n \geq 1$. Let $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2) : \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that $[\chi] \notin \Sigma^n(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$. Then there is a p with $0 \leq p \leq n$ such that $[\chi_1] \notin \Sigma^p(\Gamma_1)$ and $[\chi_2] \notin \Sigma^{n-p}(\Gamma_2)$.*

Note that, by our definitions, $[\chi] \notin \Sigma^0(G)$ means $\chi = 0$.

We can now prove the n-$(n+1)$-$(n+2)$ Conjecture in the case that the quotient is abelian.

Theorem 5.4. *Let*

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

and

$$N_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \overset{\pi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

be short exact sequences of groups, such that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are of type F_{n+1} ($n \geq 1$), Q is finitely generated abelian and for some k with $0 \leq k \leq n$ we have that N_1 is of type F_k and N_2 is of type F_{n-k}. Then the fibre product associated to these short exact sequences is of type F_{n+1}.

Remark 5.5. Note that the k in the above statement gives us some extra flexibility, compared to Conjecture B, regarding the finiteness properties of N_1 and N_2. The statement of Conjecture B corresponds to the case $k = n$ (or $k = 0$). Here, in essence, we can weaken the finiteness assumptions on N_1 if we strengthen the assumptions on N_2 in turn. For example in the case $n = 2$ the above says that the fibre product is of type F_3 provided one of N_1 or N_2 is finitely presented or they are both finitely generated.

Proof of Theorem 5.4. First note that since Q is abelian the map

$$(\pi_1, -\pi_2) : \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \to Q, (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \mapsto \pi_1(\gamma_1) - \pi_2(\gamma_2)$$

is a homomorphism and P is exactly the kernel of this map. Thus P is a normal subgroup containing the commutator subgroup and we can attempt to use Theorem 5.2. So let

$$\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2) : \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \to \mathbb{R}$$

be a non-trivial character such that $\chi(P) = 0$. This implies that χ_1 and χ_2 are non-trivial characters of Γ_1 and Γ_2 with $\chi_1(N_1) = 0$ and $\chi_2(N_2) = 0$. Using the assumptions on N_1 and N_2 and Theorem 5.2, we can conclude that $[\chi_1] \in \Sigma^k(\Gamma_1)$ and $[\chi_2] \in \Sigma^{n-k}(\Gamma_2)$. Since for $p \leq k$ we have $\Sigma^p(\Gamma_1) \supset \Sigma^k(\Gamma_1)$ and for $p > k$ we have $\Sigma^{n+1-p}(\Gamma_2) \supset \Sigma^{n-k}(\Gamma_2)$ it follows from Meinert's Inequality (Theorem 5.3) that $[\chi] \in \Sigma^{n+1}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$.

Since χ was chosen as an arbitrary character with $[\chi] \in S(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2, P)$ we can then conclude from Theorem 5.2 that P is of type F_{n+1}. □

From this we can derive the corresponding special case of Conjecture A (cf. [Ko, Theorem 34]).

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$ be groups of type F_k (with $k \geq 2$) and $G \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ a subdirect product. If G contains the commutator subgroup of $\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ and virtually surjects to k-tuples then it is of type F_k.*

Proof. This was proved in 3.6. □

In fact Lemma 3.5 tells us that if $P \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ is a subdirect product that virtually surjects to k-tuples, where $2k > n$, then the quotients Γ_i/N_i are virtually abelian and so P contains the commutator subgroup. Thus we get

Corollary 5.7. *Conjecture A is satisfied if $2k > n$ and in particular for $n \leq 5$.*

Proof. The latter statement follows because the Conjecture has been proved for $k = 2$ by Bridson, Howie, Miller and Short [BHMS3, Theorem E]. □

6. A TOPOLOGICAL APPROACH

The statement of the n-$(n+1)$-$(n+2)$ Conjecture is at its core a topological question, as the finiteness properties F_n are concerned with the existence of classifiying complexes of a certain type and thus implicitly with questions such as finite generation of higher homotopy groups (see e.g. Proposition A.3 in the Appendix). And in fact, the original proof of the 1-2-3 theorem in [BBMS] is quite topological in nature, being based on an analysis of the second homotopy group of the presentation complexes of the groups involved. Thus one could suspect that the n-$(n+1)$-$(n+2)$ Conjecture might best be attacked by topological methods. In this section we will introduce one such approach, which, though falling short of proving the general result, we hope will shed further light on the issues involved in the n-$(n+1)$-$(n+2)$ Conjecture.

Analysing the topological finiteness properties of a group means constructing classifying complexes that are "small" in a certain sense. Here, we are trying to analyse the topological finiteness properties of groups described by certain short exact sequences, so the question arises on how to relate the classifying complexes of groups in such short exact sequences. One extremely useful tool for this is Ross Geoghegan's theory of "stacks" [Geo, Chapter 6], and we will start by briefly laying out some parts of this theory that will be most relevant to our discussion.

One way of "representing" a short exact sequence $N \hookrightarrow \Gamma \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$ topologically is via the so-called Borel construction. For this we pick a $K(\Gamma, 1)$-complex Y and a $K(Q, 1)$-complex Z. Then Γ acts naturally on the universal cover $\widetilde{Y}$ via deck transformations and also on $\widetilde{Z}$ through the homomorphism $\Gamma \overset{\pi}{\to} Q \cong \mathrm{Deck}(\widetilde{Z})$. So we can let Γ act diagonally on $\widetilde{Y} \times \widetilde{Z}$ and noting that this action is free and properly discontinuous we get a new $K(\Gamma, 1)$-complex $Y' := (\widetilde{Y} \times \widetilde{Z})/\Gamma$. The projection $\widetilde{Z} \to Z$ then gives a map $\phi : Y' \to Z$. This map induces $\pi : \Gamma \to Q$ on the level of fundamental groups and furthermore ϕ is a fibre bundle with fibre the $K(N, 1)$-complex $X := \widetilde{Y}/N$. Geoghegan's construction now builds a new $K(\Gamma, 1)$-complex Y'' and a map $\phi' : Y'' \to Z$ from Y' by replacing the fibres X with another $K(N, 1)$-complex X' that can be chosen arbitrarily. This map ϕ' retains some of the structure of a fibre bundle, in that, roughly speaking, over the interior $\mathring{e}$ of a cell $e \subset Z$ the map $\phi' : \phi'^{-1}(\mathring{e}) \to \mathring{e}$ has the form of a projection $X' \times \mathring{e} \to \mathring{e}$. This is what Geoghegan calls a "stack". We will now make this notion precise in the following theorem, which is Theorem 7.1.10 from [Geo].

Theorem 6.1. *Let $N \hookrightarrow \Gamma \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$ be a short exact sequence. Furthermore, let X be a $K(N, 1)$-complex and Z a $K(Q, 1)$-complex and identify their fundamental groups with N and Q, respectively, by fixing isomorphisms $N \cong \pi_1(X, x_0)$ and $Q \cong \pi_1(Z, z_0)$. Then there is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$-complex Y and a map $\phi : Y \to Z$ with the following properties:*

1. *ϕ represents the homomorphism $\pi : \Gamma_1 \to Q$ on the level of fundamental groups.*
2. *ϕ is a stack with fibre X. Precisely, if E_n denotes the set of n-cells of Z then there are maps $f_n : X^{E_n} \times S^{n-1} \to \phi^{-1}(Z^{(n-1)})$ (here X^{E_n} denotes a disjoint union of copies of X, one for each n-cell of Z, and $Z^{(n-1)}$ denotes the $(n-1)$-skeleton of Z) and homeomorphisms*

$$k_n : (X^{E_n} \times B^n) \cup_{f_n} \phi^{-1}(Z^{(n-1)}) \to \phi^{-1}(Z^{(n)})$$

such that k_n is the inclusion on $\phi^{-1}(Z^{(n-1)})$, maps each cell to a cell and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X^{E_n} \times B^n) \sqcup \phi^{-1}(Z^{(n-1)}) \arrow[r, "quotient"] \arrow[dr, "u"'] & (X^{E_n} \times B^n) \cup_{f_n} \phi^{-1}(Z^{(n-1)}) \arrow[r, "k_n"] & \phi^{-1}(Z^{(n)}) \arrow[dl, "\phi"] \\
& Z^{(n)} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where u agrees with ϕ on $\phi^{-1}(Z^{(n-1)})$ and on each copy of $X \times B^n$ corresponding to a cell $e \in E_n$ it is projection to B^n followed by a characteristic map $B^n \to e$.

Note that in a stack $\phi : Y \to Z$ with fibre X the m-cells of Y are in bijective correspondence with the set $\bigcup_{k=0}^{m} E_k^Z \times E_l^X$ where E_k^Z is the set of k-cells of Z and E_l^X is the set of l-cells of X. So in particular, if Z and X have finite n-skeleton then the same will be true of Y.

To apply this construction to our situation, let us now consider a short exact sequence of groups

$$N \hookrightarrow G \overset{p}{\twoheadrightarrow} H$$

where N is of type F_n and H is of type F_{n+1} for some $n \geq 2$.[5] We will be interested in conditions for G to be of type F_{n+1} (later, we will apply these considerations to the short exact sequence $N_1 \hookrightarrow P \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_2$ associated to a fibre product $P \leq \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$).

Pick a $K(N,1)$-complex X with finite n-skeleton and a $K(H,1)$-complex Y with finite $(n+1)$-skeleton and let $\phi : W \to Y$ be a stack with fibre X as given by Theorem 6.1. So W is a $K(G,1)$ and ϕ represents the homomorphism $p : G \twoheadrightarrow H$. We may furthermore assume that Y has a single vertex $*$ (see [Geo, Theorem 7.1.5]). By the stack property, $\phi^{-1}(*)$ is a subcomplex isomorphic to X and identifying these complexes, we will frequently regard X as a subcomplex of W. By the observation above, W has finite n-skeleton and furthermore there are only finitely many $(n+1)$-cells in W that project down to k-cells in Y with $k \geq 1$. In other words, only finitely many $(n+1)$-cells of W are not completely contained in X. So G is of type F_{n+1} if and only if we can remove from the $(n+1)$-skeleton $W^{(n+1)}$ all but finitely many of the $(n+1)$-cells in $X = \phi^{-1}(*)$ while making sure that the complex stays n-aspherical (possibly by gluing in finitely many additional cells).

First we will need an easy lemma which essentially states that removing some $(n+1)$-cells from an n-aspherical complex results in a complex whose n^{th} homotopy group is generated (as a π_1-module) by the attaching maps of the $(n+1)$-cells that have been removed.

Lemma 6.2. *Let A be a connected, $(n{+}1)$-dimensional CW-complex ($n \geq 2$) with $\pi_n(A) = 0$ and $B, C \subset A$ subcomplexes such that $B \cup C = A$, $B^{(n)} = A^{(n)}$ and C is connected. Then $\pi_n(B)$ is generated as a $\pi_1(B)$-module by the image of the map $\pi_n(C^{(n)}) \to \pi_n(B)$ induced by inclusion.*

Proof. The pair $(A^{(n+1)}, A^{(n)})$ is an $(n+1)$-cellular extension, so by a theorem of J.H.C. Whitehead the kernel of the map $\pi_n(A^{(n)}) \to \pi_n(A^{(n+1)})$ induced by inclusion is generated by the attaching maps of $(n+1)$-cells. To make this statement precise, we will have to introduce basepoints. For each $(n+1)$-cell e of A, pick a characteristic map $h_e : (D^{n+1}, S^n) \to (A^{(n+1)}, A^{(n)})$. Then pick basepoints $v_0 \in A^{(0)}$ and $x_0 \in S^n$ as well as paths $p_e : [0,1] \to A$ from v_0 to each $h_e(x_0)$. Writing ε_n for some fixed generator of $\pi_n(S^n, x_0)$ and $\dot{h}_e$ for the restriction $h_e|_{S^n}$ (i.e. $\dot{h}_e$ is an attaching map for the cell e), we have that $\dot{h}_e$ represents an element $\dot{h}_{e*}(\varepsilon_n) \in \pi_n(A^{(n)}, h_e(x_0))$ and p_e induces an isomorphism $\tau_{p_e} : \pi_n(A^{(n)}, h_e(x_0)) \to \pi_n(A^{(n)}, v_0)$. The aforementioned theorem (see e.g. [Wh, Chapter V.1, Theorem 1.1]) then says that the elements $\tau_{p_e}(\dot{h}_{e*}(\varepsilon_n))$ generate $\pi_n(A^{(n)}, v_0)$ as a $\pi_1(A, v_0)$-module.

---

[5] Most of what follows can, in principle, be applied to the case $n = 1$ as well. However, for the situation we are interested in, this case has been treated before, and since it would sometimes have to be adressed individually, we will not treat it here, to avoid clutter.

Now $(A^{(n)}, B)$ is again an $(n+1)$-cellular extension, so $\iota_* : \pi_n(A^{(n)}, v_0) \to \pi_n(B, v_0)$, induced by inclusion, is a surjection. Since B and A have the same 2-skeleton, we have $\pi_1(A) = \pi_1(B)$. Therefore the elements $\iota_*\big(\tau_{p_e}(h_{e*}(\varepsilon_n))\big)$ generate $\pi_n(B, v_0)$ as a $\pi_1(B)$-module. Now $\iota_*\big(\tau_{p_e}(h_{e*}(\varepsilon_n))\big) = 0$ for those $(n+1)$-cells e which are contained in B, and all the other $(n+1)$-cells are contained in C, since $B \cup C = A$. But if e is in C then $\iota_*\big(\tau_{p_e}(h_{e*}(\varepsilon_n))\big)$ lies in the image of the map $\pi_n(C^{(n)}, v_0) \to \pi_n(B, v_0)$ (we can always choose p_e to lie in C), so this image generates $\pi_n(B, v_0)$ as a $\pi_1(B, v_0)$-module. This proves the assertion. □

Theorem 6.3. *Let $N \hookrightarrow G \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} H$ be an exact sequence of groups, such that H is of type F_{n+1} and N is of type F_n (where $n \geq 2$). Furthermore let X be a $K(N, 1)$ with finite n-skeleton. Then G is of type F_{n+1} if and only if there exists a finite $(n+1)$-dimensional, n-aspherical complex $\bar{X}^{(n+1)}$ containing $X^{(n)}$ as a subcomplex and a homomorphism $f : \pi_1(\bar{X}) \to G$ such that the inclusion $\iota : X^{(n)} \to \bar{X}$ is π_1-injective and $f \circ \iota_*$ is the inclusion $N \hookrightarrow G$.*

Proof. Let Y and W be defined as in the discussion above Lemma 6.2, so $\phi : W \to Y$ is a stack with fibre X.

To prove one direction of the claim, suppose G is of type F_{n+1}. In this case some finite subcomplex $W'^{(n+1)} \subset W^{(n+1)}$ is $(n+1)$-aspherical (see Corollary A.4). Clearly $W'^{(n+1)}$ contains $X^{(n)}$ as a π_1-embedded subcomplex and the inclusion $W'^{(n+1)} \to W^{(n+1)}$ induces a homomorphism f as required in the claim.

The idea of the proof for the other direction is to "replace" the (potentially infinitely many) $(n+1)$-cells of $X \subset W$ with the (finitely many) $(n+1)$-cells from $\bar{X}$, glued into W using a topological realisation of f.

Let V denote the subcomplex of $W^{(n+1)}$ consisting of $W^{(n)}$ together with those $(n+1)$-cells of W that are mapped under ϕ to k-cells of Z with $k \geq 1$. Applying Lemma 6.2 (with $A = W^{(n+1)}$, $B = V$ and $C = X^{(n+1)}$) we get that $\pi_n(V)$ is generated as a $\pi_1(V)$-module by the image of the map $\pi_n(X^{(n)}) \to \pi_n(V)$ induced by inclusion. Roughly speaking, we can push any n-sphere of W into X via a homotopy not intersecting the $(n+1)$-cells in X. We now proceed to make n-spheres in X contractible.

Since $W^{(n)}$ is $(n-1)$-aspherical we can construct a map $\bar{f} : \bar{X}^{(n)} \to W^{(n)}$ inducing f on the fundamental groups and restricting to inclusion on $X^{(n)}$. Now let $\widetilde{W}^{(n+1)} := V \cup_{\bar{f}} \bar{X}^{(n+1)}$, i.e. $\widetilde{W}^{(n+1)}$ is constructed by attaching to V the $(n+1)$-cells of $\bar{X}$ via the map $\bar{f}$. So $\widetilde{W}^{(n+1)}$ is a finite, $(n+1)$-dimensional complex with the same n-skeleton as our original $K(G, 1)$-complex W. We will now show that $\widetilde{W}^{(n+1)}$ is in fact n-aspherical. By attaching cells of dimension $n+2$ and higher we can then make it into a $K(G, 1)$-complex with finite $(n+1)$-skeleton, proving that G is of type F_{n+1}.

We consider the following sequence of homomorphisms induced by inclusions:

$$\pi_n(X^{(n)}) \overset{\iota_1}{\to} \pi_n(V^{(n+1)}) \overset{\iota_2}{\to} \pi_n(\widetilde{W}^{(n+1)}).$$

First note that, since $V^{(n)} = \widetilde{W}^{(n)}$, the map ι_2 is a surjection. If $\alpha : S^n \to X^{(n)}$ is any n-sphere in X then it can be contracted in $\bar{X}^{(n+1)}$, since $\bar{X}$ is n-aspherical, i.e. there is an extension $\hat{\alpha} : D^{n+1} \to \bar{X}^{(n+1)}$ with $\hat{\alpha}|_{S^n} = \alpha$. The map $\bar{f} : \bar{X}^{(n)} \to W^{(n)}$ extends naturally to a map $\bar{f} : \bar{X}^{(n+1)} \to \widetilde{W}^{(n+1)}$ and the composition $\bar{f} \circ \hat{\alpha} : D^{n+1} \to \widetilde{W}^{(n+1)}$ shows that α is null-homotopic in $\widetilde{W}^{(n+1)}$. In other words, the map $\iota_2 \circ \iota_1 : \pi_n(X^{(n)}) \to \pi_n(\widetilde{W}^{(n+1)})$, induced by inclusion, is the zero map.

But we have shown above that the image of ι_1 generates $\pi_n(V^{(n+1)})$ as a π_1-module. Therefore a set of generators of $\pi_n(V^{(n+1)})$ is sent to 0 under ι_2, proving that ι_2 is the zero map. Combining this with the fact that ι_2 is a surjection, we get that $\pi_n(\widetilde{W}^{(n+1)}) = 0$, as claimed. □

Corollary 6.4. *Let $N \hookrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow H$ be a short exact sequence of groups with H of type F_{n+1} and N of type F_n for some $n \geq 2$. Then G is of type F_{n+1} if and only if there is a group G' of type F_{n+1} containing N as a normal subgroup and a homomorphism $\phi : G' \to G$ making the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} & G' \arrow[dd, "\phi"] \\ N \arrow[ur, "\subset"] \arrow[dr, "\subset"'] & \\ & G \end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. If G is of type F_{n+1} choose $G' = G$ and $\phi = \mathrm{id}_G$.

For the other direction use the above lemma: Let X be a $K(N,1)$-complex with finite n-skeleton. Build a $K(G',1)$-complex W from the short exact sequence $N \hookrightarrow G' \twoheadrightarrow G'/N$ via the stack construction in Theorem 6.1. Since G'/N is of type F_n (in fact even F_{n+1} [Geo, Theorem 7.2.21]) this can be done in such a way that W has finite n-skeleton. As G' is of type F_{n+1} there is a finite n-aspherical subcomplex $W'^{(n+1)} \subset W^{(n+1)}$ with $W'^{(n)} = W^{(n)}$. Since we only changed the $(n+1)$-skeleton, we still have $\pi_1(W'^{(n+1)}) = \pi_1(W^{(n+1)}) \cong G'$ and $W'^{(n+1)}$ still contains $X^{(n)}$ as a subcomplex with π_1-injective embedding $X^{(n)} \to W'^{(n+1)}$. Thus we can take $W'^{(n+1)}$ to be $\bar{X}$ in the above lemma. □

Applying this to the situation of the n-$(n+1)$-$(n+2)$ Conjecture, consider, as always, two short exact sequences

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$
$$N_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \overset{\pi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

such that N_1 is of type F_n and Γ_1 and Γ_2 are of type F_{n+1} (with $n \geq 2$).

Then the associated fibre product P fits into a short exact sequence

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow P \overset{p_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} \Gamma_2,$$

which satisfies the finiteness requirements of Corollary 6.4. So to show that P is of type F_{n+1} we need to find a group G' as in the statement of the Corollary. Note that Γ_1 is a group of type F_{n+1} that contains N_1 as a normal subgroup, as required of G'. However, in general there is no map $\phi : \Gamma_1 \to P$

which restricts to the inclusion on N_1.[6] Yet there is one particular special case, where such a map does always exist, namely when the two short exact sequences are split. This allows us to prove the following case of Conjecture B. This result was previously obtained, using different methods, by Martin Bridson and Ken Brown in unpublished work.

Corollary 6.5. *Let*

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

$$N_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \overset{\pi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

be short exact sequences with Γ_1*,* Γ_2 *of type* F_{n+1} *and* N_1 *of type* F_n *and assume the second sequence splits. Then the associated fibre product is of type* F_{n+1}*.*

Proof. Let $\sigma : Q \to \Gamma_2$ be a splitting homomorphism for the second sequence, so $\pi_2 \circ \sigma = \mathrm{id}_Q$. Now consider the exact sequence

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow P \overset{p_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} \Gamma_2.$$

Define

$$\phi : \Gamma_1 \to P, \gamma_1 \mapsto (\gamma_1, \sigma\pi_1(\gamma_1)).$$

Note that since $\pi_1(\gamma_1) = \pi_2\sigma\pi_1(\gamma_1)$ the image of ϕ does indeed lie in P. Obviously, ϕ restricts to the identity on N_1. Therefore the above corollary applies to yield the conclusion. □

In the general case it is much less clear how to find a group G' as required by Corollary 6.4. However we can use the corollary to reduce Conjecture B to the case where Γ_2 is free. For this consider again the sequences

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

$$N_2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \overset{\pi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

with N_1 of type F_n, Γ_1 and Γ_2 of type F_{n+1} and Q of type F_{n+2} Now let F be a finitely generated free group with an epimorphism $p : F \to \Gamma_2$. Let P' denote the fibre product associated to the short exact sequences

$$N_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \overset{\pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

$$N_2' \hookrightarrow F \overset{\pi_2 p}{\twoheadrightarrow} Q$$

where $N_2' = \ker(\pi_2 p) = p^{-1}(N_2)$. Now the homomorphism

$$\mathrm{id} \times p : \Gamma_1 \times F \to \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2, (\gamma_1, g) \mapsto (\gamma_1, p(g))$$

maps P' onto P and clearly sends $N_1 \times 1$ to $N_1 \times 1$. Thus by Corollary 6.4, P is of type F_{n+1} if P' is.

Furthermore, it is easy to check that P' is in fact isomorphic to the semidirect product $N_1 \rtimes F$ where the conjugation action of F on N_1 is induced by that of Q via the map $\pi_2 p$.

We can thus rephrase Conjecture B as follows:

---

[6] Note that in the symmetric case, where the two short exact sequences are identical, there always is a natural map $\Gamma_1 \to P \leq \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_1$, sending γ to (γ, γ). But this map does not restrict to the inclusion of N_1 in the sequence $N_1 \hookrightarrow P \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_2$, so it does not satisfy the requirements of Corollary 6.4.

Question. *Let*

$$N \hookrightarrow \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow Q$$

be a short exact sequence with N *of type* F_n*,* Γ *of type* F_{n+1} *and* Q *of type* F_{n+2}*. Furthermore let* $p : F \to Q$ *be an epimorphism from a finitely generated free group* F *to* Q*. Since* Q *acts on* N *by conjugation, we can let* F *act on* N *via the map* p*. Define* $\Gamma' := N \rtimes F$ *to be the semidirect product of* N *and* F *associated to this action. Is* Γ' *of type* F_{n+1}*?*

Appendix: Some facts on finiteness properties and the property wFP_n

Here we collect some general information on the various flavours of finiteness properties we use. The material on the properties FP_n and F_n is standard and can be found in the relevant literature, such as [Bro], [Geo] and [Bi2], so we will not give proofs here or elaborate too much. We will however dwell a bit longer on the features of the property wFP_n, which has proved useful in the study of subdirect products of groups but has not been systematically investigated in the literature.

Let us start with some definitions:

Definition A.1. A group G is of type F_n if there is a $K(G,1)$-complex with finite n-skeleton.

A group is of type F_∞ if it is of type F_n for all n.

Every group is of type F_0, and it is a standard fact that the groups of type F_1 are exactly the finitely generated groups and the groups of type F_2 are exactly the finitely presented groups.

It is an elementary result that any finitely presentable group has a finite set of defining relations with respect to *any* finite generating set. Similarly, if $m \leq n$ and G is a group is of type F_n and then any finite m-dimensional, $(m-1)$-aspherical[7] complex with fundamental group G can serve as the m-skeleton to a $K(G,1)$-complex with finite n-skeleton (i.e. when trying to construct a good $K(G,1)$ complex for a group of type F_n step-by-step, it is not possible to "start off wrong"):

Proposition A.2. *Let* G *be a group of type* F_n *and* $X^{(m)}$ *a finite* m*-dimensional,* $(m-1)$*-aspherical complex with* $\pi_1(X^{(m)}) \cong G$*. Then there is a* $K(G,1)$*-complex* Y *with* $Y^{(m)} = X^{(m)}$ *and* $X^{(n)}$ *finite.*

Proof. See [Geo, Theorem 7.2.20]. □

We can slightly rephrase this, by using the following observation: If X is an n-dimensional, $(n-1)$-aspherical complex then it can be made n-aspherical by gluing in *finitely* many $(n+1)$-cells if and only if $\pi_n(X)$ is finitely generated as a $\pi_1(X)$-module (see [Wh, Chapter V.1, Theorem 1.1])

Proposition A.3. *Let* $X^{(n)}$ *be a finite* n*-dimensional,* $(n-1)$*-aspherical complex with fundamental group* G*. Then* G *is of type* F_{n+1} *if and only if* $\pi_n(X^{(n)})$ *is finitely generated as a* G*-module.*

---

[7] A space X is n-aspherical if it is path-connected and every map $S^k \to X$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$ is null-homotopic.

An easy consequence, which we use in Section 6 is this:

Corollary A.4. *Let G be a group of type* F_n *and $X^{(n)}$ an n-dimensional, $(n-1)$-aspherical complex with $\pi_1(X^{(n)}) \cong G$ and $X^{(n-1)}$ finite. Then there is a finite subcomplex of $X'^{(n)}$ of $X^{(n)}$ with $X'^{(n-1)} = X^{(n-1)}$ such that $X'^{(n)}$ is still $(n-1)$-aspherical.*

Let us now briefly look at the property FP_n, which can be considered an "algebraic version" of F_n.

Definition A.5. A group G is of type FP_n if there is a resolution

$$\cdots \to P_{n+1} \to P_n \to \cdots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to \mathbb{Z}$$

of $\mathbb{Z}$,regarded as a trivial G-module, by projective G-modules P_k, such that P_k is finitely generated for all $k \leq n$.

A group is of type FP_∞ if it is of type FP_n for all n.

The general relationship between the properties F_n and FP_n can be summarised as follows:

Theorem A.6. *A group is of type* FP_1 *if and only if it is finitely generated. Every group of type* F_n *is of type* FP_n. *A group that is finitely presented and of type* FP_n *is of type* F_n.

Proof. See [Bro, Sections VIII.5 and VIII.7]. □

However, Bestvina and Brady have constructed examples of groups that are of type FP_∞, but not finitely presented, so the two notions are in fact different.

The fact that FP_n and F_n are equivalent in the presence of finite presentability means that for our discussion of subdirect products the distinction between FP_n and F_n is rarely important, since Conjectures A and B have been solved for the case $n = 2$, thus making F_n and FP_n equivalent in most situations we are concerned with.

The following is an immediate but nonetheless important consequence of the definition of type FP_n:

Proposition A.7. *If G is of type* FP_n *and M a G-module that is finitely generated as an abelian group, then $H_k(G, M)$ is finitely generated for all $k \leq n$.*

Furthermore, FP_n descends to subgroups of finite index.

Proposition A.8. *Let G be a group and $G' \leq G$ a finite index subgroup. Then G is of type* FP_n *if and only if G' is.*

Proof. See [Bro, Chapter VIII, Proposition 5.1]. □

In particular, if G is of type FP_n, in fact $H_k(G')$ is finitely generated for all finite index subgroups $G' \leq G$ and $k \leq n$. We call this property "weak FP_n" or wFP_n for short.

Definition A.9. A group G is of type wFP_n if for all finite index subgroups $G' \leq G$ the homology groups $H_k(G')$ for $k \leq n$ are finitely generated abelian groups.

A group is of type wFP_∞ if it is of type wFP_n for all n.

The fact that FP_n implies wFP_n is often used to show that a group is not of type FP_n (or F_n): To show that G is not of type FP_n it is sufficient to find a finite index subgroup G' which has a non finitely generated homology group $H_k(G')$ with $k \leq n$.

The difference between wFP_n on the one hand and FP_n or F_n on the other hand is quite interesting for the study of subdirect products. To illustrate this, let $G \leq \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ be a full subdirect product of the limit groups $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$. If G is of type wFP_k then Kochloukova ([Ko, Theorem 11]) shows that G virtually surjects to k-tuples. If G is not of type FP_k then this is a counterexample to Conjecture A. In addition, we have proved that virtual surjection to k-tuples implies wFP_k, even if the factors are not limit groups.

Thus the distinction between properties wFP_k and F_k is closely tied to Conjecture A. One strategy to disprove Conjecture A could be to collect examples of groups that are of type wFP_k but not of type FP_k, then try to embed one of them in a direct product of limit groups.

Here, we will describe one way to construct a family of groups K_n with the property that K_n is of type wFP_∞ and of type F_n but not of type F_{n+1}. It is based on an extension of Bridson's construction in [Bri] (see also [BrHa, Chapter III.Γ.5]).

First we need a basic lemma:

Lemma A.10. *A group G is of type* wFP_n *if every* normal *finite index subgroup N has $H_k(N)$ finitely generated for $k \leq n$.*

Proof. Let $S \leq G$ be an arbitrary finite index subgroup of G. Then there is a subgroup $N \leq S$ of finite index which is normal in G. So S fits into a short exact sequence

$$N \hookrightarrow S \twoheadrightarrow F$$

where F is finite. Suppose $H_k(N)$ is finitely generated for all $k \leq n$. Since F is of type FP_∞ (by Lemma A.9), we then have that $H_p(F, H_q(N))$ is finitely generated for all $q \leq n$. The Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence then shows that $H_k(S)$ is finitely generated for $k \leq n$. □

In [Bri] so-called doubles, i.e. amalgamated products of two copies of the same group along the two copies of a subgroup, are used to construct sequences of groups with specific higher-dimensional finiteness properties starting from some elementary seed groups. This is based on the following observation: If A and B are groups with sufficiently nice finiteness properties (say, of type F_∞) and C is a common subgroup then the amalgamated product $A *_C B$ will have the finiteness properties of C "shifted up by one dimension". To make this notion precise:

Theorem A.11. *Let A and B be groups and C a group which embeds in both, i.e. there are injections $C \hookrightarrow A$ and $C \hookrightarrow B$.*

1. *Assume A and B are finitely presented. Then $A *_C B$ is finitely presented if and only if C is finitely generated.*
2. *Assume A and B are of type* F_n. *Then $A *_C B$ is of type* F_n *if C is of type* F_{n-1}.
3. *Assume A and B are of type* FP_n. *Then $A *_C B$ is of type* FP_n *if and only if C is of type* FP_{n-1}.

Proof. 1 is proved in [Ba]; 3 is proved in [Bi2, Theorem 2.13] and 2 follows from the other two by Theorem A.6. ☐

Remark A.12. The "if" in 2 cannot be strengthened to an "if and only if".

We will now attempt to prove an analogous claim for the property wFP_n. We will need

Lemma A.13. *Let A and B be groups such that $H_k(A)$ and $H_k(B)$ are finitely generated for all $k \leq n$ and let G be an amalgamated product $A *_C B$ or an HNN extension $A*_C$. Then, for $k \leq n$, $H_{k-1}(C)$ is finitely generated if and only if $H_k(G)$ is.*

Proof. This follows from the Mayer-Vietoris sequences

$$\cdots \to H_k(A) \oplus H_k(B) \to H_k(G) \to H_{k-1}(C) \to H_{k-1}(A) \oplus H_{k-1}(B) \to \cdots$$

in the case of an amalgamated product or

$$\cdots \to H_k(A) \to H_k(G) \to H_{k-1}(C) \to H_{k-1}(A) \to \cdots$$

in the case of an HNN extension (see [Bi2, Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 2.12]). ☐

Proposition A.14. *Let A and B be groups of type wFP_n and C a group of type wFP_{n-1} with injections $C \hookrightarrow A$ and $C \hookrightarrow B$. Then the amalgamated product $A *_C B$ is of type wFP_n.*

Proof. Let $S \leq G = A *_C B$ be a finite index subgroup. Let T be the Bass-Serre tree associated to the splitting $A *_C B$. Then S acts on T with vertex stabilizers isomorphic to finite index subgroups of A or B and edge stabilizers isomorphic to finite index subgroups of C. Therefore the vertex and edge stabilizers are certainly of type wFP_n and wFP_{n-1} respectively. Since G acts cocompactly on T and S is of finite index, S also acts cocompactly on T. Therefore S is built up from groups of type wFP_n by a finite sequence of amalgamated products and HNN extensions along subgroups of type wFP_{n-1}. It thus follows from Proposition A.13, that $H_k(S)$ is finitely generated for $k \leq n$. ☐

The following theorem can be used to iterate the construction of doubles.

Theorem A.15. *Let G be a group and $N \lhd G$ a normal subgroup such that $G/N \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Then there is a short exact sequence*

$$G *_N G \hookrightarrow G \times (\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. This is contained in [Bri, Lemma 2.8]. ☐

Using this we can show that wFP_∞ and F_{n-1} do not imply F_n for any n.

Proposition A.16. *There is a sequence of groups K_n such that K_n is of type wFP_∞ and F_{n-1} but not FP_n and such that K_n fits into a short exact sequence*

$$K_n \hookrightarrow \Gamma_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

with Γ_n of type F_∞.

Proof. Let S be an infinite group of type F_∞ with no finite quotients and $H_k(S) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$ (such groups are constructed, for example, in [BrGr, Chapter 4]). Now take countably many isomorphic copies $S_m \cong S$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consider their free product $K_1 = *_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} S_m$. Then K_1 is not finitely generated but still does not have finite quotients (for any homomorphism $K_1 \to \Gamma$ is determined by the compositions $S_m \hookrightarrow K \to \Gamma$, and if Γ is finite, all these compositions must be trivial) and thus no finite index normal subgroups. A Mayer-Vietoris argument shows that $H_k(K_1) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, so by Lemma A.10 K_1 is of type wFP_∞. Furthermore observe that K_1 embeds in $\Gamma_1 := S * \mathbb{Z}$ by sending S_m to $m^{-1}Sm$. In fact K_1 is the kernel of the map $S * \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ sending S to 1 and mapping $\mathbb{Z}$ isomorphically. Also note that Γ_1 is of type F_∞. Thus the short exact sequence

$$K_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

supplies the base case $n = 1$ of the Proposition.

Now suppose groups K_n and Γ_n with the claimed properties have been constructed. Then the double $K_{n+1} := \Gamma_n *_{K_n} \Gamma_n$ is still of type wFP_∞ (by Proposition A.14) and of type F_n but not of type FP_{n+1} (by Theorem A.11). Furthermore, by Theorem A.15 K_{n+1} fits in a short exact sequence

$$K_{n+1} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_n \times (\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\Gamma_n \times (\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}) =: \Gamma_{n+1}$ is of type F_∞. ☐

REFERENCES

[Ba] Baumslag, G.: A remark on generalized free products, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 53–54.

[BBMS] Baumslag, G., Bridson, M.R., Miller III, C.F. and Short, H.: Fibre products, non-positive curvature, and decision problems, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **75** (2000), 457–477.

[BaRo] Baumslag, G. and Roseblade, J.E.: Subgroups of direct products of free groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **30** (1984), 44–52.

[Bi1] Bieri, R.: Finiteness length and connectivity length for groups, in: Geometric group theory down under, de Gruyter, Berlin (1999), 9–22.

[Bi2] Bieri, R.: *Homological Dimension of Discrete Groups*, Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes, Queen Mary College, London, 1976.

[BiGe] Bieri, R., Geoghegan, R.: Connectivity Properties of Group Actions on Non-Positively Curved Spaces, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, no. 765 (2003).

[BNS] Bieri, R., Neumann, W.D. and Strebel, R.: A geometric invariant of discrete groups, *Invent. Math.* **90** (1987), 451–477.

[BiRe] Bieri, R. and Renz, B.: Valuations on free resolutions and higher geometric invariants of groups, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **63** (1988), 464–497.

[Bri] Bridson, M.R.: Doubles, Finiteness Properties of Groups and Quadratic Isoperimetric Inequalities, *J. Algebra* **214** (1999), 652–667.

[BrGr] Bridson, M.R. and Grunewald, F.J.: Grothendieck's problems concerning profinite completions and representations of groups, *Annals of Math.* **160** (2004), 359–373.

[BrHa] Bridson, M.R. and Haefliger, A.: *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, Grundlehren der math. Wiss. 319, Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[BrHo1] Bridson, M.R. and Howie, J.: Normalizers in limit groups, *Math. Ann.* **337** (2007), 385–394.

[BHMS1] Bridson, M.R., Howie, J., Miller III, C.F. and Short, H.: The subgroups of direct products of surface groups, *Geom. Ded.* **92** (2002), 95–103.

[BHMS2] Bridson, M.R., Howie, J., Miller III, C.F. and Short, H.: Subgroups of direct products of limit groups, *Annals of Math.* **170** (2009), 1447–1467.

[BHMS3] Bridson, M.R., Howie, J., Miller III, C.F. and Short, H.: On the finite presentation of subdirect products and the nature of residually free groups, Preprint, arXiv:0809.3704.

[BrMi] Bridson, M.R. and Miller III, C.F.: Structure and finiteness properties of subdirect products of groups, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **98** (2009), 631–651.

[Bro] Brown, K.S.: *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 87, Springer New York, 1982.

[Di] Dison, W.J.: Isoperimetric functions for subdirect products and Bestvina-Brady groups, PhD thesis, Imperial College London, arXiv:0810.4060.

[Geh] Gehrke, R.: The higher geometric invariants for groups with sufficient commutativity, *Comm. in Alg.*, **26**:4 (1998), 1097–1115.

[Geo] Geoghegan, R.: Topological Methods in Group Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 243, Springer New York, 2008.

[Ko] Kochloukova, D.H.: On subdirect products of type FP_m of limit groups. *J. Group Theory* **13** (2010), 1–19.

[Mi1] Miller III, C.F.: *On group theoretic decision problems and their classification*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 68, Princeton University Press (1971).

[St] Stallings, J.R.: A finitely presented group whose 3-dimensional integral homology is not finitely generated, *Amer. J. Math.* **85** (1963), 541–543.

[Wh] Whitehead, G.W.: *Elements of Homotopy Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 61, Springer New York, 1978.

Mathematical Institute, 24–29 St Giles', Oxford OX1 3LB, UK
E-mail address: `kuckuck@maths.ox.ac.uk`